

B.sc(math H)part3 paper6

Topic Internal direct product of two groups

Dr hari kant singh

Rrs college mokama

Internal direct product of two groups

Definition : If H, K be any two sub-groups of a group G , then G is said to be internal product of H and K ,

$$\text{i.e., } G = H \times K,$$

- if
- (i) Every element of H commutes with every element of K
 - (ii) Every element of G is uniquely expressible as a product of an element of H and an element of K .

Theorem 4. If H and K are two sub-group of a group G such that $G = H \times K$, then H and K are normal sub-group of G and $\frac{G}{H} \cong K$ and $\frac{G}{K} \cong H$.

Proof : Let us suppose the mapping $f: G \rightarrow H$ defined as

$$\phi(g) = \phi(hk) = h \quad \dots(1)$$

where $g = hk$ is any element of G , $G = H \times K$.

Again by definition, h and k are unique elements of H and K respectively. Now we have to prove that ϕ defined as above is a homomorphism of G onto H .

Consider $g_1 = h_1 k_1$ and $g_2 = h_2 k_2$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\therefore g_1 g_2 &= h_1 k_1 h_2 k_2 \\
&= h_1 h_2 k_1 k_2, \text{ by definition} \\
&= (h_1 h_2) (k_1 k_2), \text{ where } h_1 h_2 \in H \text{ and } k_1 k_2 \in K. \\
\therefore \phi(g_1 g_2) &= \phi[(h_1 h_2) (k_1 k_2)] \\
&= h_1 h_2, \text{ by definition of } \phi \\
&= \phi(g_1) \phi(g_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Also ϕ is obviously onto. Thus ϕ is a homomorphism of G onto H .
Let us now find kernel of $\phi : G \rightarrow H$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Kernel of } \phi &= \{g : \phi(g) = e \text{ the identity of } H\} \\
&\Rightarrow g = ek = k \in K.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \text{Kernel of } \phi = \{k : k \in K\} = K.$$

Since the Kernel of ϕ is a normal sub-group and hence K is a normal sub-group of G .

Also $\frac{G}{K}$ is a quotient group. By fundamental theorem of homomorphism, we know that homomorphic image of H of $\phi : G \rightarrow H$ is isomorphic to quotient group $\frac{G}{K}$.

$$\therefore \frac{G}{K} \cong H.$$

Similarly we can prove that H is a normal sub-group and

$$\frac{G}{H} \cong K.$$

Theorem 5. If a group G be the internal direct product of its sub-groups H and K , then

- (i) H and K have only the identity in common.
- (ii) G is isomorphic to external direct product of H by K , i.e. $G \cong H \times K$.

Proof : (i) Consider $x \in H \cap K$ so that $x \in H$ and $x \in K$ and $x^{-1} \in H, x^{-1} \in K$

because both H and K are sub-groups.

Again $g \in G$ can be uniquely expressed as

$$g = hk : h \in H, k \in K \text{ as } G = H \times K \quad \dots\dots(1)$$

$$\text{and } g = (hx) (x^{-1} k) : hx \in H, x^{-1} k \in K \quad \dots\dots(2)$$

By definition of $G = H \times K$, each element of G can be uniquely expressed as the product of an element of H and an element of K .

Thus from (1) and (2), we conclude that

$$hx = h \text{ and } x^{-1}k = k.$$

$$\therefore hx = h \Rightarrow x = e.$$

Therefore e the identity is the only element common to H and K , i.e. $e \in H \cap K$.

(ii) Suppose that the mapping $\phi : G \rightarrow H \times K$ is defined by

$$\phi(g) = \phi(hk) = (h, k), \forall g \in G.$$

ϕ is one-one : Let $\phi(g_1) = \phi(g_2) \Rightarrow (h_1, k_1) = (h_2, k_2)$

$$\Rightarrow h_1 = h_2 \text{ and } k_1 = k_2$$

$$\Rightarrow h_1 k_1 = h_2 k_2$$

$$\Rightarrow g_1 = g_2$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi \text{ is one-one.}$$

ϕ is onto : Suppose (h, k) be any element of $H \times K$, then $hk \in G$.

Also $\phi(hk) = (h, k)$, by definition.

$$\Rightarrow \phi \text{ is onto.}$$

ϕ preserves the composition :

$$\phi(g_1 g_2) = \phi(h_1 k_1, h_2 k_2) = \phi(h_1 h_2 k_1 k_2)$$

because every element of H commutes with every element of K .

$$\therefore \phi(g_1 g_2) = (h_1 h_2, k_1 k_2), \quad (\because h_1 h_2 \in H, k_1 k_2 \in K)$$

$$= (h_1, k_1) (h_2, k_2)$$

$$= \phi(h_1 k_1) \phi(h_2 k_2)$$

$$= \phi(g_1) \phi(g_2).$$

$\therefore \phi : G \rightarrow H \times K$ is one-one onto and preserves the group composition therefore it is an isomorphism.

Theorem 6. A group G is the direct product of its two sub-groups H and K i.e., $G = H \times K$, if and only if

(i) H and K are normal sub-groups,

(ii) $H \cap K = \{e\}$,

(iii) $G = HK$.

Proof : Let us suppose that the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) holds good. Then we have to prove that $G = H \times K$, i.e., conditions (i) and (ii) of definition of internal direct product hold good.

Consider $h \in H, k \in K$ so that $h^{-1} \in H$ and $k^{-1} \in K$.

Suppose the element $h^{-1} k^{-1} h k = h^{-1} (k^{-1} h k)$
 $= h^{-1}$ (some element of H as H is a normal sub-group)
 $= H$, as $h^{-1} \in H$ and $a \in H \Rightarrow h^{-1} a \in H$.

Again $h^{-1} k^{-1} h k = (h^{-1} k^{-1} h) k$
 $=$ (some element b of K as K is a normal sub-group) k
 $= b k \in K$.

Since $h^{-1} k^{-1} h k$ belongs to both H and K , therefore

$h^{-1} k^{-1} h k$ belongs to $H \cap K = \{e\}$, by (ii).

$$\therefore (h^{-1} k^{-1}) h k = e$$

$$\text{or, } (kh)^{-1} (hk) = e \Rightarrow hk = (kh)^{-1} = kh.$$

This shows that every element of H commutes with every element of K which is condition (i) of definition of $G = H \times K$.

Suppose $g \in G$ be expressed as $g = hk : h \in H, k \in K$.

Now we have to prove that the condition (ii) of definition of $G = H \times K$ the above expression for g is unique.

If possible suppose $g = h_1 k_1 : h_1 \in H, k_1 \in K$.

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore hk &= h_1 k_1 \Rightarrow h_1^{-1} (hk) k^{-1} = h_1^{-1} (h_1 k_1) k^{-1} \\ &\Rightarrow (h_1^{-1} h) (k k^{-1}) = (h_1^{-1} h_1) (k_1 k^{-1}) \\ &\Rightarrow h_1^{-1} h = k_1 k^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

Since $h_1^{-1} h \in H$ and $k_1 k^{-1} \in K$ therefore each of them belongs to $H \cap K = \{e\}$ by (ii).

$$\therefore h_1^{-1} h = e \text{ and } k_1 k^{-1} = e \text{ or } h_1 = h \text{ and } k_1 = k.$$

Hence the expression for $g = hk$ is unique

$$\therefore G = H \times K.$$

Conversely : Let $G = H \times K$ so that $g = hk$ subject to conditions (i) and (ii) of definition of $G = H \times K$ and we will prove the conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) of this theorem.

(i) H and K are normal sub groups.

Let a be any element of H and $g = hk$ be any element of G .

$$\begin{aligned} g^{-1} a g &= (hk)^{-1} a (hk) = (k^{-1} h^{-1}) a (hk) \\ &= (h^{-1} k^{-1}) a (kh) \text{ by condition (i) of definition} \\ &= h^{-1} k^{-1} (ak) h \\ &= h^{-1} (k^{-1} ka) h = h^{-1} ah \in H. \end{aligned}$$

Since for every $g \in G$ and $a \in H$, we have $g^{-1}ag \in H$,

Therefore H is normal sub group of G .

Similarly K is also a normal sub-group of G .

(ii) Now we shall prove that $H \cap K = \{e\}$.

Let $a \neq e$ be any element of $H \cap K$ so that $a \in H$, $a \in K$.
certainly $a \in G$.

If e be the identity which belongs to both H and K and is the same
that of G .

Now $a = ea = ae$.

This shows that an element a of G is expressible in two different ways
as the product of an element of H and that of K .

But this violates the definition (ii) of $G = H \times K$.

Hence our supposition that $a \neq e$ is wrong and as such

$$H \cap K = \{e\}.$$

(iii) Now we will show that $G = HK$.

We know that $HK \subseteq G$.

Let $g \in G$ so that $g = hk$, $h \in H$, $k \in K$.

$$\Rightarrow g \in HK \Rightarrow G \subseteq HK.$$

since $HK \subseteq G$ and $G \subseteq HK$ therefore it follows that

$$G = HK.$$